UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
v.)	Case No. 2:21-CR-145 JD
JOHN WISE (1))	

ORDER

No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's findings and recommendation upon a plea of guilty issued on December 17, 2021 [DE 45] The Court finds that the change of plea, conducted via VTC, complies with Section 15002(b)(2)(A) of the CARES Act. First, the defendant consented to the video hearing after consultation with counsel [DE 44]. Next, pursuant to General Order 2021-31, at the time of the change of plea hearing the Chief Judge of the district had concluded that in-person hearings could not be conducted without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety as a result of the current Covid-19 pandemic. Finally, the Court determines that the plea in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice.

While our courts opened to the public on July 6, 2020, the spread of the virus continues. To protect the health and safety of all involved, including our defendants, it remains prudent to limit in person hearings. Regardless, the interests of justice demand that the defendant have access to the courts, to exercise his legal rights, and to achieve a disposition without unnecessary delay. Accordingly, the Court now ADOPTS those findings and recommendations, ACCEPTS defendant John Wise's plea of guilty, ACCEPTS the plea agreement, and FINDS the defendant guilty of Counts 1 and 4 of the Superseding Indictment, for violating 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1).

Any objections a party submits to the probation officer in response to the draft Presentence Report must specifically identify the basis of the objection and any supporting authority. Should the Addendum to the final Presentence Report reflect any outstanding objections by a party, that party must specifically state in its sentencing memorandum whether it maintains that objection. USDC IN/ND case 2:21-cr-00145-JD-APR document 50 filed 01/03/22 page 2 of 2

If so, the party must identify the basis and authority for its objection and, where applicable, any

evidence the party expects to offer in support of that objection. The party should also state if,

consistent with Rule 32(i)(3)(B), it does not believe a ruling on that objection will be necessary.

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: January 3, 2022

/s/JON E. DEGUILIO

Chief Judge

United States District Court